



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/576,999

04/25/2006

Johan L. Richaud

1461 US/PCT

7246

7590

04/10/2009

Vesuvius  
4604 Campbells Run Road  
Pittsburgh, PA 15205

EXAMINER

KASTLER, SCOTT R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/10/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/576,999	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RICHAUD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Scott Kastler	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott Kastler. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Clinton. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Proposed claim amendments and Affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132.

Claim(s) discussed: 16,18-23,25-27,29 and 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: WO'123.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendment and supporting Affidavit would change the scope of the finally rejected claims by requiring a single constriction, requiring further search and/or consideration and would not be entered at this time, but would bge entered and considered upon the filing of an RCE or continuation of this application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Scott Kastler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793	
--	--